Angel Lomeli

Aalto University, Finland Security and Cloud Computing (SECCLO) **Arto Niemi** Huawei, Finland

Helsinki System Security Laboratory (HSSL)

eGMT: Deep Fuzzing of Cryptographic Protocols Using Syntax Tree Mutation

HUAWEI

Introduction

Aalto University

School of Science

- Fuzz testing
 - Allows detecting hard-to-reach vulnerabilities by feeding applications mutated data and monitoring their behavior
- Common tools like AFL and Honggfuzz: good for *file-based* fuzzing

{0:TLSRecord}	TLSRecord									
[0:type]	RecordType		16							
[1:version]	ProtocolVersion		03	01						
[2:length]	Integer		01	2C						
{3:msg}	HandshakeMessage									
[0: type]	HandshakeType		01							
[1:length]	Integer	1	00	01	28					
${2:msg}$	ClientHello									
[0:version]	ProtocolVersion		03	03						
[1: random]	OpaqueBlob		40	C7	0E	24	30	01	B9	6 D
			8C	63	68	77	38	69	64	32
			D3	E6	F9	49	10	7 A	AB	AD
			84	50	CD	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$	D6	A2	66	E4
<pre> [2:session_id_length]</pre>	Integer		00							
[3:session id]	OpaqueBlob									
{4:cipher_suites}	ClientHello cipher suites									
[0: <u>N</u>]	Integer		00	92						
$ {1:\overline{v}}$	DynamicVector									
<pre> [0:CipherSuite]</pre>	CipherSuite	Ι	C0	30						

- Interactive protocols
 - Messages depend on earlier ones
 - Require specialized fuzzers such as AFLNet
- Cryptographic protocols (like TLS)
 - Messages must pass cryptographic checks (e.g. signatures, MACs)
 - Fuzzing still a major challenge
- This work:
 - New syntax tree mutation based fuzzer for cryptographic protocols
 - Test target: htls (HSSL's experimental small-footprint, TEEcompatible, dependency-free TLS 1.3 implementation)



eGMT proposal

- Walz et al¹ : Generic Message Trees (GMTs)
 - Syntax trees + fuzz operators for TLS 1.2
- Applicable only to the ClientHello message
- Our proposal: Enhanced Generic Message Tree (eGMT)
- Improved fuzz operators
- New operators such as ZeroOperator, BitFlipOperator
- Applicable to all handshake messages, including encrypted ones
- Focus on TLS 1.3, but also works for e.g. ASN.1/ECDSA signatures



Vulnerabilities found

- **Missing ECDH public key validation.** Any arbitrary (e.g. attacker-injected) value is accepted as an ECDH key share.
- **Segmentation fault in log print.** An error causes an (almost) infinite loop that makes the application read from a restricted memory address.
- Null pointer dereference in Finished message. A short signature triggers an attempt to memcpy from a NULL address.
- **Segmentation fault in certificate validation.** Invalid memory read when parsing invalid X.509 certificates.
- Wrong length in TLV objects. Incorrect lengths in ASN.1 structures crash the application.
 Garbage bytes after signature. Signatures with garbage bytes are incorrectly accepted.
 Non-zero compression methods. Messages with non-zero compression field are accepted, violating the specification.
 Too many same-type extensions. Two or more extensions of the same type are accepted when they should not.
 Invalid session ID. Invalid session IDs are incorrectly accepted.
 Missing required extensions. The app ignores when a message misses a required extension.

References

1. A. Walz and A. Sikora, "Exploiting Dissent: Towards Fuzzing-Based Differential Black-Box Testing of TLS Implementations," IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing, vol. 17, pp. 278–291, 2020.